

89%

RAW SCORE

strong claim

47%

ADJUSTED SCORE

Innocence Claim



Claimant's full name:	Jay Roberts
Contact info:	jayrob2005@hotmail.com
Proxy's full name:	Robin Patterson
Proxy contact info:	

SYNOPSIS



Asexual person comes out as transgender in early 90s, gets falsely accused as being a "sexual predator" homophobic stereotype. Convicted without evidence. Must register as sex offender for life. Forced into poverty and homelessness.



- Highlight 1 No other criminal history
- Highlight 2 Consistently maintained innocence, took no plea deals
- Highlight 3 Transphobic investigation and prosecution
- Highlight 4 Convicted without corroborating evidence
- Highlight 5 Climate of sex abuse hysteria
- Highlight 6 Media sensationalized coverage
- Highlight 7 Exculpatory evidence overlooked with untested DNA
- Highlight 8 Asexual transperson must register for life as "sex offender"
- Highlight 9
- Highlight 10

FLIPSIDE



Prior to accepting herself as transgender, Janet (principal & codefendant) often ran afoul of the law. She appears to have suffered Asperger's (high functioning autism), so was slow at responding to social cues. Overcoming shame of being gender different helped her escape cycles of self-defeating behaviors. She overcame dyslexia and other challenges to lead a healthy life, until this happened.



SUMMARY



On July 7th, 1993, Steph Turner awoke to hear voices from the other room. Steph could hear her sister Janet talking to someone. That person left, but later returned with her irate mother to accuse Janet of an incredulous crime. You see, Janet was born male and now openly transgender, long before that was socially acceptable. And Janet had yet to fully transition.

At the height of the sex abuse hysteria in the early-90s, Steph came out as gender-nonconforming transgender. But living in a religiously conservative community, Steph kept it private. Steph soon came out to Janet, years after Janet had. They shared an apartment to rekindle their newfound bond. Both now freely embracing their feminine sides. Both felt asexual by not being loved for their full selves. Both drawn to the spirituality of transcending the gender divide.

A neighborhood child drew curious, peeping into Janet's window to gawk at what she called the "man with lipstick." When caught not being home on time, the child leveled bizarre claims of sex abuse unbecoming from a child. Exposed to porn?

The child then dragged Steph into her transphobic-indoctrinated accusations. The child claimed Steph posed with her as if she, the young child, was stabbing Steph in the chest with a jelly stained butter knife. She claimed this was to scare her from talking to police, that we would say she was the aggressor. Unbelievable? Not if you already believe trans people are subhuman.

Child testimonies back then were often coached. Trans people were easily vilified. Since no corroborating evidence was necessary back then to convict for sexual misconduct, both transwomen were wrongly convicted and sentenced to long terms in men's prisons, where Steph's codefendant transgender sibling died in 2001.

Repeated efforts to overcome this wrongful conviction failed. After serving a full 12-year sentence, Steph was discharged and finished undergraduate and graduate degrees. But is required to register as a sex offender for life, destroying economic and other opportunities. Your support can help turn this around.

\$600,000 estimated eligible compensation under state law

**"We know without doubt
that the vast majority of
innocent defendants
who are convicted of crimes
are never identified and cleared."**

Samuel R. Gross, *NRE*



You can help change a life for the better

Collateral consequences

Collateral consequences create second-class citizens, often without measurable outcomes to test if meeting their intended purpose. Consequently, they can have the opposite effect, like enabling recidivism--even among the wrongly convicted. This claimant reports enduring so many of these consequences to the point of being cut off from opportunities to live independently. You can help change this.

Impacting other's lives

Collateral consequences also impact others in Jay Roberts's life. Jay Roberts shares how family members have suffered from anxiety, depression, divorce, housing instability, poverty, stigma, among other things. You can help improve their lives too!

Challenging and aspiring

Despite challenging economic needs, mental health needs, relationships needs, and other needs, this claimant aspires toward income independence, maintaining healthy lifestyle, and other life improvements. Removing illicit discrimination will go a long way toward improving this claimant's life.

Suffering discrimination

Unfortunately, Michigan does not limit how far back an employer can run a background check. Background screeners must rely on indiscriminate records that fail to distinguish between "reliable evidence-based convictions" and "non-exonerated wrongful convictions"--permitting illicit discrimination.

Removing threats for improving health

Jay understandably experiences some anxiety from the wrongful conviction. Once hired, much of that should clear up. If not, Value Relating can help.

Jay understandably experiences some depression from the wrongful conviction. The wrongful conviction produces plenty of depressing economic conditions. Once hired, much of that should clear up. If not, Value Relating can help.

Take away

You need those you trust to be trustworthy. Right? You need them to make informed decisions about you, so they don't waste your precious time. Likewise, Jay needs those they trust, like you, to be trustworthy. They need those like you to be better informed in their decisions regarding them. Acknowledging the widespread problem of wrongful convictions is a start. Using this estimate of innocence can help you make better decisions.

Instructions

Follow the basic instructions at the right of each page, which does not print.

Go to the Instructions tab for more detailed guidance.

3 options for you

1 DIY

Do It Yourself for free. With a high estimate of innocence, you may not need our help. **CLICK here** to start your DIY option.

FREE route but not recommended for low scores

2 Plan

Receive Support starting for \$5. You've already been through hell. Let us take you to heaven. **CLICK here** to learn more.

Only \$5 to start, potential to earn far more in return

3 Try DIY, then Plan

If you find the free route frustrating, give this support route a try. **CLICK here** to learn how.

If get in a bind then come back and see what we can do for you

Recounting this information risks re-traumatizing those most deeply affected by it. The proxy is there for support, and we support the proxy.

If needing direct support to get through this, please contact us. Don't let any temporary pain stop you from receiving overdue justice.

CLICK HERE.

Contents



A Case information

Provide basic information about the case.

B Documentation for verification

Provide independently accessible documents that help support claims of innocence.

c.1 Common factors in wrongful convictions

These 6 items are common among exonerated cases.

c.2 Evidentiary factors

These 6 items increase likelihood of a wrongful conviction.

c.3 Investigative factors

These 6 items link flawed law enforcement investigations to wrongful convictions.

c.4 Complicating factors

These six items mix with other items to increase likelihood of a wrongful conviction.

c.5 Claimant's demonstratable innocence

These 7 items contrast claimant with those of actual guilt.

c.6 Claimant's innocence recognized by others

These 7 items independently recognize claimant's actual innocence.

c.7 Other

Space to add contributing factors not already covered.

c.8 Process

Another look at the adversarial judicial process and its tendency toward wrongful convictions.

D. Requests and responses for exoneration help

Names of those asked for professional legal help.

E. Collateral consequences of conviction

Background checks privilege discrimination with these specific items.

F. Claimant narrative

In your own words, what happened?

G. Dynamic relating

How has the experience affected your wellbeing?

H. Compensation

Compensation for exonerees, if your state has such a statute.

I. Options

DIY for free or receive help and build support innovatively, and cheaply for you.

J. Supporter(s)

Invite supporters, build a support team, share your costs and returns.

K. Notifying

Notify employers, landlords and debt collectors of your calculated estimate of innocence.

L. Independent verifier(s)

Boost your raw score of estimated innocence. Hire others to independently verify your claims.

M. Next

Concluding remarks, terms of service, etc.



A. Case information

Complete as many as you can. You can always come back later with hard-to-find info.

Claimant name

Jay	Roberts
Claimant email addresss jayrob2005@hotmail.com	phone # where can be reached
date of birth (year-month-day): 1977-09-18	gender (from list) other (explain in box)
race (from list) primarily white	primary language (from list) English
anything to add to these answers? Privately transgender (born male, gender nonconforming)	

Proxy name

Robin	Patterson
Proxy email addresss rpatterson@saybackr.org	phone # where can be reached
relation to claimant (pick from list) trusted family member	how long knowing claimant since claimant's childhood

Indictment (official accusation)

initial charge(s)	alleged involvement level	actual involvement
1 sexual assault of minor	aiding & abetting	alleged crime never occurred
2 sexual assault of minor	aiding & abetting	alleged crime never occurred



If more than ten charges, explain in this box

[Empty text box]

Provide any helpful information about any charge in this box:

[Empty text box]

Plea

Did you plead guilty? (If yes, explain why below)

no, I pled not guilty

[Empty text box]

[Empty text box]

Offered a plea deal?

never open to any plea deal

[Empty text box]

How long insisting you're completely innocent?

always

Offense date	Arrest date	Indictment date
1993-07-07	1993-07-07	1993-07-09

Conviction

What is the conviction based upon?

jury trial

[Empty text box]

Prelim date	Jury selection date	Verdict date
1993-07-20	1993-11-30	1993-12-13

Anything else about the conviction you can add?

[Empty text box]

Jurisdiction of conviction

State (or fed):	County:	City
Michigan	Kent County	Grand Rapids

District Court		
Case docket #	Preliminary prosecutor	Preliminary judge
[Empty]	[Empty]	[Empty]

Circuit Court	Trial prosecutor	Trial judge
[Empty]	[Empty]	[Empty]



Defense counsel
 Satisfaction level

How many codefendants?

Codefendant(s) information

1 Janet	principal	same trial, different juries
family	principal	guilty as charged
know location?	claims to know real culprit?	yes

If more than six codefendants, add in box below. Add any helpful context.
 Same trial, separate juries. Codefendent my transgender sibling, whom I'm convinced is also actually innocent since she previously described herself being asexual. Hence, no crime. She is now deceased.

Sentencing date: Start date of sentence: If multiple sentences:

Date appeal filed: Appeal decision date: Appeal decision:

Appeal status (e.g., Habeas Corpus)

Current custody status (still in prison?):



INCARCERATION

Started prison sentence	1993-07-07
Date released from prison:	2005-09-22
Date released from parole (if applicable):	
Date released from probation (if applicable):	
Sentence severity	lengthy "trial penalty" sentence
How many parole denials for maintaining innocence?	3
Discharged from max sentence due to innocence claim?	yes
Sex offender registry:	on registry for life
Sex offender registry as of today:	2018-10-29
If exonerated, expect to seek compensation?	yes

Conviction Integrity Unit?

Any CIU?	Heard from the CIU near you?	Any favorable news from the CIU?
not that I'm aware of	not yet	not yet

Asked an Innocence Project for help or something like it? yes

What was the response? Explain further below. Affirmed my case merited review, but had to prioritize their resources for others whose liberty was more in jeopardy.

1st request: only helping those on death row; 2nd request near end of my sentence: only helping those with life sentences; 3rd request in 2014 after getting out of prison but stuck on sex offender registry and homeless: prioritizing help for those still in prison

Criminal history	Criminal history contributors
no prior criminal history or since	

Any prior or subsequent convictions challenged? If so, explain in box below.

Anything unique to your wrongful situation we didn't think to ask?

My 3 young daughters never accused me since I never touched them inappropriately. An Olan Mills professional portrait of them was taken in the search warrant as "child pornography".

What is the worst feature of the case against you (if any) that has at least some merit? How would you account for it, without sounding like you're making excuses?

Codefendant had a long rap sheet, but no history of sexual violence. Seems to have overcome previous criminality and shame by accepting being transgender. At the time I struggled with codependency and by appearances prone to appease codefendant's requests than stand up for myself. At the time, I was in bankruptcy.

Thanks for answering all those questions. They understandably bring up a lot of painful stuff. Together, let's give your pain some purpose by bringing this injustice to an end. And next provide documentation to help verify your innocence claim.



B. Documentation for verification

These 14 items improve claim with any documentation to verify claim elements.

1 Trial transcripts

7%

If relevant, can claimant provide a copy of trial transcripts? If yes, select how from list below.

By URL below, searchable text (best)

<https://www.valuerelating.com/documentation>

Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your trial transcripts.

This link provides a list of links, with trial transcripts seperated by each day.

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
4,621	link works, all item(s) found	554.52 hours

2 Discovery documents

7%

If relevant, a copy of discovery documents?

By URL below, searchable text (best)

<https://www.valuerelating.com/documentation>

Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your discovery documents.

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
36		4.32 hours

3 Other trial related documents

4%

If relevant, other trial related documents, like a motion for new trial.

By URL below, image only (good)

<https://www.valuerelating.com/documentation>

Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your other trial documents.

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
4		2 hours



4 Police interrogation


If any transcript of any interrogation(s), how accessible?

[Empty text input field]

[Empty text input field]

[Progress bar] in estimated hours

5 Any new trial motion



By URL below, searchable text (best)


https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/5d10a8_61a75e8b8358462e898a7a4a41cb9a6b.pdf

[Empty text input field]

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
13	link works, all item(s) found	1.56 hours

6 Presentence Investigation Report



By URL below, searchable text (best)

https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/5d10a8_2918f23037b74ed3bd95e1c8aeec4a77.pdf

[Empty text input field]

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
8	link works, all item(s) found	0.96 hours



7 Appellate brief

7%

By URL below, searchable text (best)

https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/5d10a8_c49cc7d8aaa94618945310b43b136760.pdf

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
60	link works, all item(s) found	7.2 hours

8 Appellate opinion

7%

By URL below, searchable text (best)

https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/5d10a8_167f3b812ae54126b666ed99d2dac3e8.pdf

SUPPORTER REVIEW: Prepare for Independent Verifier

How many pages?	check if URL works, report from list below	Estimated time to verify
9	link works, all item(s) found	1.08 hours

9 Post-appellate remedies sought

	in estimated hours
--	--------------------



10 Innocence Project communication

[Empty text box]

[Empty text box]

[Progress bar] in estimated hours

11 Professional supporters

Exchange with journalists, their media coverage, communication with activists, etc.

[Empty text box]

[Empty text box]

[Progress bar] in estimated hours

12 Conviction Review Unit interest

Also known as a conviction integrity unit or conviction integrity review,

[Empty text box]

[Empty text box]

[Progress bar] in estimated hours




13 Other documentation



14 Other supportive material (e.g., alibi affidavit)

Provide any additional information to help best review your particiular case.



47% Baseline score

Wibbitz URL

Prep to-do list

Contact us if you need any help with this process. Let's see what we can do.

- not started count page numbers in all identified documents
- done make sure all URLs work, documents accessible
- unfinished make sure accessible documents support claims

Do you need any support for accessing any documents, or scanning them, or getting them online?
If so, let's talk.

[click here for support](#)



c.1 Common factors in wrongful convictions

The **Innocence Project** has identified these six factors as common in wrongful convictions.

15 Eyewitness Misidentification

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Do you claim you were misidentified during a criminal investigation? Do you claim eyewitnesses made critical mistakes that resulted in your wrongful conviction? If yes, describe below.

no, not a factor

16 False Confessions or Admissions

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Do you claim you were coerced into admitting guilt for something you now insist you did not do? Were you subjected to the Reid Technique? Were you given the option to take a plea deal to avoid the risk of a much harsher sentence? If yes to any of these, describe below.

no, not a factor



17 Government Misconduct

Do you claim law enforcement or judicial officials or any other government employee’s committed fraud or neglect, or any other misconduct that led to your wrongful conviction? For example, was there any indication of a Brady violation? If yes, describe below.

Prosecution presented a semen sample taken from a “green” or “aqua” blanket, but neither defendant owned a green blanket, suggesting malfeasance. No DNA testing has yet been conducted on this sample. Green blanket never presented at trial.

Per standard at the time, investigators relied on leading questions to coerce complainant to give the kind of prurient account investigators anticipated (confirmation bias).

Found professional Olan Mills portrait of my 3 young daughters to use as proof of child pornography to rationalize unsubstantiated search warrant.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	50%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		

18 Unvalidated or Improper Forensic Science

Do you claim your wrongful convictions was based, at least in part, on questionable forensic evidence? For example, was the conviction based upon contaminated evidence? Or did a state lab expert provide damaging testimony at trial without presenting the scientific reliability of the findings? If yes to any of these, describe below.

Claimed to find semen on green blanket but semen sample never tested to establish a match, nor was any green blanket presented at trial. Significant since neither codefendant nor I owned a green blanket.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	52%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		



19 Jail Informant

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Do you claim your wrongful conviction resulted, at least in part, to a jail snitch or other informant with an apparent conflict of interest? Do you know if such an informant was given any incentives to testify against you? If yes to any of these, describe below.

no, not a factor		

20 Inadequate Defense

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Do you claim your defense attorney failed to provide an adequate defense to the charges? For example, did your court appointed lawyer invest only a minimal amount of time and energy to help you mount a defense? If yes to any of these, describe below.

only a remote factor		fully verified & established



c.2 Evidentiary factors

These six items increase a likelihood of wrongful conviction

21 Evidence yet to be DNA tested

Does claimant know of potentially exculpatory evidence has yet to be DNA tested?

Semen sample found on a green or aqua blanket. If this is actually the sky-blue blanket with aqua trim from bedroom, semen could be from a wet dream of Steph and not the sterile semen from principal as prosecutor claimed.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	54%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by information provided upon request		

22 Non-DNA evidence yet to be considered

Does claimant assert non-biological evidence was overlooked? Or new evidence surfaced?

Transphobia in religiously conservative community at the height of the child sex abuse panic of the 80s and early 90s. Role of coached testimony of children. Disparate impact from law allowing convictions without corroborating evidence.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	56%
yes, a significant fact	Should be verifiable but not sure how		

23 Exculpatory evidence exists

Does claimant assert exculpatory evidence exists? If so, does claimant assert a Brady violation?

Rape kit report made same day indicates reported assault highly unlikely; complainant given pop to drink prior to examining her mouth for alleged presence of semen.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	58%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		

24 Conviction not corroborated by evidence

Does claimant assert conviction not corroborated by any empirical evidence?

According to 1993 law: "No corroborating evidence of an accusation is necessary for a conviction of criminal sexual conduct."

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	60%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		

25 Conviction based on irrational theory of guilt

Does claimant assert the conviction's theory of guilt makes little if any sense?

Child's testimony asserts defendants staged Polaroid shot of her stabbing complainant in chest with butter knife and jelly smeared on claimant's shirt, and if she told police that codefendants would use it to claim she was the perpetrator. No jelly found on shirt. No Polaroid camera found or existed at premise. No picture ever existed. But this testimony formed basis to convict claimant of first degree aiding and abetting criminal sexual conduct.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	63%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		

26 No actual crime

Does claimant assert no crime actually happened?

Accuser appears to have confabulated the allegations; all the available physical & medical evidence collected within hours of the allegations supports defendants' claim no crime actually occurred. For example, the rape kit showed no rape trauma, no broken hymen.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	65%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		



c.3 Investigative factors

These six items cite common police investigations problems, including two by **Judges for Justice**.

27 Law enforcement tunnel vision

Does claimant cite *confirmation bias* distorting the criminal investigation, leading investigators to ignore actual
 Once the child complainant made her prurient accusations, investigators relied on leading questions to confirm their biases, encouraging complainant to embellish her testimony. The lack of corroborating evidence suggests investigators became locked into believing the alleged crime had occurred, implicating their own transphobic prejudices, and could not correct their errant beliefs by realigning them with the exculpatory facts.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	
yes, a significant fact	Should be verifiable but not sure how		67%

28 Law enforcement noble cause corruption

Does claimant note any occasion where law enforcement bends the rules to obtain what they view as just ends?
 Search warrant to find child pornography used Olan Mills portrait of my children as proof of possessing child pornography. Altered child complaint testimony suggests being coached. Appellate Panel, traditionally deferring to trial jury as tryer of fact, assumed that role in reinterpreting trial transcript to finding “fact” of aiding and abetting CSC2.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	
yes, a moderate fact	Verifiable by the URL I provided		68%

29 Complainant retraction

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Has complainant expressed doubt or retraction of the initiating accusation? Does complainant realize misidentifying perpetrator(s)? Does complainant now support wrongly accused while worried actual perpetrator remains free?

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	



30 Confession from actual perpetrator

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Has another person confessed to the crime?

no, not a factor

31 Another person implicated in the crime

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Was another suspect under investigation but not charged? Another person confessed to the crime, and stated claimant was not involved? Evidence exists pointing to another likely culprit?

no, not a factor

32 Conviction based upon outmoded law/beliefs

Does claimant assert conviction was based on law no longer in effect?

“No corroboration of an accusation is necessary for a conviction of criminal sexual conduct.” A conviction can be based solely on the statement of the victim.

Investigators using suggestive leading questions that induce children to provide answers confirming the investigators’ biases.

Belief that LGBT people are sexual predators trying to recruit children into their “deviant lifestyle.”

Children never lie about being sexually victimized.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	70%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		



c.4 Complicating factors

These six items tend to compound other factors, increasing likelihood of a wrongful conviction.

33 Presenting conflict of interest

Does claimant cite any government official presenting a conflict of interest, such as a prosecutor needing to win the case for reelection?

Presiding judge was up for reelection, which appears to have skewed the proceedings.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	70%
only a remote factor	I know of no way to verify this claim		

34 Perjured testimony or false accusation

Does claimant assert the supposed victim or accomplices made errant claims under oath, whether or not they knew them to be false?

Testimony from complainant appears to be parroting transphobic ideas about transgender people, and her testimony was coached according to documents received in Discovery.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	71%
yes, a moderate fact	I know of no way to verify this claim		

35 Moral panic

Was accusation made in context of a moral panic, such as the child sex abuse hysteria of the 80s and 90s?

Accusation occurred at height of child sex abuse hysteria, following same pattern of asking child leading questions to confirm investigators' biases, lacking any corroborating evidence.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	73%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by information provided upon request		



36 Disparate impact

Is claimant a member of a population that has been disproportionately targeted by law enforcement, such as dark skinned minorities, immigrants, religious minorities, the mentally ill or LGBTQ persons?

Outed as transgender in a religiously conservative transphobic community, where many believed all LGBTQ people are sex predators victimizing children.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	74%
yes, a moderate fact	Should be verifiable but not sure how		

37 Law enforcement prejudice

Does claimant report any specific prior prejudicial hostile contact from any law enforcement official? Or hostile bias from law enforcement against claimant’s group identity?

Transphobic encounters with police in past. Arresting officers expressed transphobic beliefs when taking claimant to hospital for HIV test, suggesting their prejudices mirrored widespread transphobic beliefs distorting the investigation.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	74%
yes, a moderate fact	I know of no way to verify this claim		

38 Trial by media

Does claimant assert press coverage influenced the judicial process or outcome?

The arrest of two “crossdressing brothers” reinforcing this conservative religious community’s prejudices kept the case in the news, and ostensibly skewed the judicial process. Media at the time continued sensational coverage of sex abuse trials that presumed guilt of accused.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	76%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		



c.5 Claimant's demonstratable innocence

These seven items contrast claimant's behavior against those with actual guilt.

39 Pled not guilty

Did claimant plead not guilty? Did claimant challenge some or all the charges at trial? Was claimant informed of the trial penalty risk if found guilty and sentenced?

Claimant pled not guilty to all charges and has never considered any plea options.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	78%
yes, a significant factor	Verifiable by information provided upon request		

40 Alford plea

After being confronted with the state's evidence, did claimant take an Alford plea?

[Alford pleas are not available in Michigan.]

Claimed?	Verifiable?		
no, not a factor			

41 Duration of innocence claim

Has claimant always maintained innocence? Or persisted in claiming innocence after promptly claiming a coerced confession?

Claimant has always maintained innocence, even when denied parole for not demonstrating remorse.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	79%
yes, a moderate factor	Verifiable by information provided upon request		



42 Respect for crime victim(s)

Does claimant present sympathy or empathy for victims of crime? Does claimant insist someone else committed the crime or no crime actually occurred?

Claimant insists no crime actually occurred, and views the investigation as a kind of sexual exploitation of the complainant for the prurient interests (even if only subconsciously) of the investigators. Note: both defendants identified as asexual transgender people.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	80%
yes, a moderate fact	Verifiable by information provided upon request		

43 Positive institutional record

If imprisoned, does claimant assert they were a model prisoner?

Received positive work records, was selected in 2000 to open a new prison. Selected as "model peer leader" for Kairos ministry program. Served in lay-leader positions without complaint. Only major misconduct ticket was destruction of state property after using branch from tree to make a dream catcher.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	81%
yes, a moderate fact	I know of no way to verify this claim		

44 No criminal history

Was the instant offense the only criminal charge to the claimant?

No felony or misdemeanor arrests or convictions prior or afterwards.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	83%
yes, a significant fact	Verifiable by information requiring paid access		



45 Parole denial from maintaining innocence

Was claimant denied parole because of a “lack of remorse” in parole hearing while insisting innocence?

Was eligible for parole in August 2001 & 2003, but was denied parole for lack of contrition, and so claimant served the maximum of the sentence and was discharged in September 2005.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	84%
yes, a moderate fact	Should be verifiable but not sure how		



No Evidence Required

The demand for professional help to review these viable claims of innocence far outstrips the meager supply.

Innocence Projects remain overwhelmed. We can help fill that gap.



c.6 Claimant's innocence recognized by others

These seven items provide independent recognition of claimant's actual innocence.

46 Any relief on appeal

Did the appellate panel provide any relief from the conviction or sentence?

Removed 1st degree CSC aiding and abetting but replaced with 2nd degree aiding and abetting by interpreting trial transcript (as trier of fact?). Declined to address CSC2 charge. Remanded resentencing to trial court. A year later, resentenced by trial court, replacing 15 o 30-year sentence with 8 to 15-year sentence (with good time the max was 12 years, providing an outdate in Sept 2005). Refusing anything short of exoneration, I hired a lawyer with the help of my mother to pursue any remaining state level remedies prior to seeking relief at the federal level. The lawyer sat on my trial transcripts for over a year without action, disillusioning me further with the legal process.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	85%
yes, a moderate facto	Verifiable by the URL I provided		

47 Supporters

Can claimant provide a list of supporters who believe in claimant's innocence?

Presentence Investigation (PSI) includes many support letters, and more can be produced upon request.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	86%
only a remote factor	Verifiable by the URL I provided		

48 Affidavits

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Can claimant provide any affidavits attesting to the facts in the case, such as an alibi?

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	



49 Judge support

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Has any judge come out in support of claimant's innocence?

50 Prosecutor support

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Has any prosecutor come out in support of claimant's innocence?

51 Defense counsel support

Does defense counsel continue to support claimant's claim to innocence?

Court appointed lawyer did her best to mount a compelling defense, by emphasizing the lack of corroborating evidence. She continues to express belief in the innocence of claimant, getting herself to represent claimant at resentencing hearing 1999-02-02.

Court appointed appellate lawyer demonstrated faith in claimant's innocence, finding more than 60 pages worth of material for the appellate brief, requiring permission (which was granted) to submit a brief in excess of 60 pages.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	87%
yes, a moderate fact	Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		

52 Influential support

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Has any political or cultural leader come out in support of claimant's innocence?



c.7 Other

This item provides space to account for anything not asked above.

53 Any other relevant items

Were any charges dropped prior to being indicted? Were any charges dropped, or added, after indictment, and when? Did changes reveal their weak case?

Complainant had been molested by older female cousin two years prior, but rape shield law prevented jury from knowing this fact.

Codefendant died in prison of cancer on 10-9-2001.

Claimed?	Verifiable?	Independent verification	87%
yes, a moderate fact	I know of no way to verify this claim		

“

No one sits above the law, yet no law sits above need. Laws exist to serve needs, but whose?

And all needs sit equal before nature.

The moral question persists: whose needs get best served by which enforced laws?

The answer determines the level of legitimacy, the level of widely earned trust to serve these needs.

”



c.8 Process

These five process items may improve our estimation of a likely wrongful conviction.

54 Indictment changed

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Was there a plea offer that was turned down?

55 Plea deal turned down

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Was there a plea offer that was turned down?

56 Asserted right to trial

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Did claimant contest the indictment in full and challenge all charges at trial?

yes, a significant factor

57 Discovery with exculpatory evidence

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Could any documentation provided by the prosecution be viewed as exculpatory?

yes, a significant fact Verifiable by information provided upon request

Independent verification

89%

58 Exculpatory evidence not provided in discovery

If claimed, explain in white box below.

Claimant aware of exculpatory evidence not revealed in discovery (i.e., possible *Brady* violation)?



D. Requests and responses for exoneration help

Provide names (and email addresses if available) for attempts to get legal assistance.

59 Attorney(s)

In 2005 my mother and hired an attorney, who sat on the transcripts without doing any work and I had to file a

60 Innocence Project(s)

Applied for help 3 times. First time while still incarcerated, but their limited resources were prioritized for those

61 Other sources of legal assistance

62 Seeking

What specifically do you seek from this process? Rate each item's level of importance to you right now. This may help prioritize our resources to work toward what you need most.

exoneration	important but can wait for it
financial support for legal costs	low priority right now
expunged record	low priority right now
removal of all collateral consequences	increasingly consuming my focus
compensation	important but can wait for it
apology	important but can wait for it
OTHER:	




It is now easier for the accused to admit their human imperfections than for police and prosecutors to humbly and honestly admit theirs.



E. Collateral consequences of conviction

Background checks that privilege discrimination with these specific items.

Click maps to go to websites listing collateral consequences by each state, and restoring lost rights.



63 Collateral consequences impacting claimant

Mark on left *how* each applies. If applies, mark on right *when* it applies.

permanent	Conviction posted online	during and since incarceration
	Custody reimbursement	
	Education discrimination	
permanent	Employment discrimination	since incarceration
	Exempt from public assistance	
	Exempt from student financial aid	
	Health care discrimination	
permanent	Housing discrimination	since incarceration
	Loss of government benefits	
	Loss of gun rights	
temporary	Loss of parental rights	during and since incarceration
temporary	Loss of vote	during incarceration
permanent	Loss or denial of professional licence	since incarceration
	Prevented from seeing family	
	Prevented from visiting prisoners	
not applicable	Restitution to alleged victims	
permanent	Restricted movement	during and since incarceration
permanent	Sex offender registry	during and since incarceration
permanent	Workplace discrimination	since incarceration
	Other (details in box below)	

Add here any consequence of the conviction not listed above.

64 Collateral consequence impacting others in claimant's life

Mark on left *how* or *if* each applies. If applies, mark on right *to whom* it applies.

	anxiety	
	costs to contact while in prison	
	depression	
lasting	divorce	impacting close friends & family
lasting	housing instability	impacting only family members
lasting	loss of companionship	impacting (then) partner & kids
lasting	loss of father figure during upbringing	impacting (then) partner & kids
lasting	loss of stable income	impacting close friends & family
	loss of emotional support	
lasting	poverty	impacting everyone I know
lasting	stigma	impacting everyone I know
	targeted by bullying	

Feel free to add any impacts on others overlooked in the list above.

65 Current neglected needs due to these collateral consequences

Now let's look at specific impacts by the wrongful conviction's collateral consequences.

Challenging

Rate each item by how much the wrongful conviction appears to impact it in your current life.

economic	significantly challenged
physical health	only slightly challenged
mental health	moderately challenged
relationships	significantly challenged
will-to-live	not challenged at all
OTHER:	

Aspiring

Rate each item by importance in your life right now, so we can best serve your needs.

income independence	I struggle to make it happen but unsuccessfully
maintaining healthy lifestyle	I feel I've reached it but not maintained it
overcoming depression & anxiety	I maintain it without help like this
restoring family ties	I maintain it without help like this
helping others similarly situated	I don't seek it nor think about it much
OTHER:	

Any other wrongful conviction challenges or aspiration you'd like to add?



F. Claimant narrative

Here is where the claimant puts in their own words what they claim happened, providing helpful context for the wrongful conviction. This appears in what others see first, so give it your best. This section wraps up the form. The remainder is for helping you, the claimant (and proxy), to find the support you need to overcome this injustice. Keep going, you're almost there!

66 Claim Synopsis

In two sentences or less, grab the readers attention with a tearjerking synopsis of the innocence claim. This text appears in the executive summary at the top.



Asexual person comes out as transgender in early 90s, gets falsely accused as being a "sexual predator" homophobic stereotype. Convicted without evidence. Must register as sex offender for life. Forced into poverty and homelessness.

67 Claim highlights

List eyegrabbing highlights of claim, with as few words as possible. Replace each example with something from your own case.



Highlight 1	No other criminal history
Highlight 2	Consistently maintained innocence, took no plea deals
Highlight 3	Transphobic investigation and prosecution
Highlight 4	Convicted without corroborating evidence
Highlight 5	Climate of sex abuse hysteria
Highlight 6	Media sensationalized coverage
Highlight 7	Exculpatory evidence overlooked with untested DNA
Highlight 8	Asexual transperson must register for life as "sex offender"
Highlight 9	
Highlight 10	

68 Flipside

For a balanced view, acknowledge what could be seen as the worst in the case. Nullify criticism by getting it out of the way. End on a positive note, like how you overcame the worst.



Prior to accepting herself as transgender, Janet (principal & codefendant) often ran afoul of the law. She appears to have suffered Asperger's (high functioning autism), so was slow at responding to social cues. Overcoming shame of being gender different helped her escape cycles of self-defeating behaviors. She overcame dyslexia and other challenges to lead a healthy life, until this happened.

69 Claim Summary

Summarize the innocence claim--with an eye for short attention spans. Add some context to the synopsis above. Provoke the reader's curiosity and interest to discover more.

FORMAT:

On [INCIDENT DATE], [CLAIMANT] [HOW INCIDENT OCCURRED]. [CONTEXT].

Do your best to describe the facts without vilifying anyone. Let the reader decide.

Close with a *call-to-action* [CTA], what you are asking the engaged reader to do.

Look through the example to get some ideas.



On July 7th, 1993, Steph Turner awoke to hear voices from the other room. Steph could hear her sister Janet talking to someone. That person left, but later returned with her irate mother to accuse Janet of an incredulous crime. You see, Janet was born male and now openly transgender, long before that was socially acceptable. And Janet had yet to fully transition.

At the height of the sex abuse hysteria in the early-90s, Steph came out as gender-nonconforming transgender. But living in a religiously conservative community, Steph kept it private. Steph soon came out to Janet, years after Janet had. They shared an apartment to rekindle their newfound bond. Both now freely embracing their feminine sides. Both felt asexual by not being loved for their full selves. Both drawn to the spirituality of transcending the gender divide.

A neighborhood child drew curious, peeping into Janet's window to gawk at what she called the "man with lipstick." When caught not being home on time, the child leveled bizarre claims of sex abuse unbecoming from a child. Exposed to porn?

The child then dragged Steph into her transphobic-indoctrinated accusations. The child claimed Steph posed with her as if she, the young child, was stabbing Steph in the chest with a jelly stained butter knife. She claimed this was to scare her from talking to police, that we would say she was the aggressor. Unbelievable? Not if you already believe trans people are subhuman.

Child testimonies back then were often coached. Trans people were easily vilified. Since no corroborating evidence was necessary back then to convict for sexual misconduct, both transwomen were wrongly convicted and sentenced to long terms in men's prisons, where Steph's codefendant transgender sibling died in 2001.

Repeated efforts to overcome this wrongful conviction failed. After serving a full 12-year sentence, Steph was discharged and finished undergraduate and graduate degrees. But is required to register as a sex offender for life, destroying economic and other opportunities. Your support can help turn this around.

It's not easy, is it? In fact, this can be emotionally overwhelming at times.

Go ahead and ask for help in writing it, if needed. That's one of the things we can do for you.



70 Sign and send

UNDER CONSTRUCTION



Depressed at all?

Rate each item to your level of experience. Your responses let us know the level of your current need. If taken later we can compare the scores to help see if we are helping or not.

Pick best answer from pulldown menu.

- 1 sadness
- 2 hope
- 3 failures
- 4 satisfaction
- 5 guilt
- 6 punished
- 7 self-loath
- 8 faults
- 9 suicidal thoughts
- 10 crying
- 11 irritability
- 12 socializing
- 13 decisiveness
- 14 self-image
- 15 effort
- 16 sleep
- 17 tiredness
- 18 appetite
- 19 weight loss
- 20 worries
- 21 sexual interest

You understandably experience some depression, considering the many challenges you face from such a conviction. You can rule out a purely biological source for such depression.

Value Relating sees most depression as *redirection*, as the body shifting energy away from too much effort for others, to pull greater effort toward neglected aspects of oneself. You naturally will be depressed, according to this view, when you are coerced by the law to give up being true to yourself, to your actual innocence, to your personal integrity. Together, we can turn this around.



H. Compensation

If officially exonerated, will you seek compensation?

Michigan's compensation statute, along with some challenges to receive such a claim.

COMPENSATION STATUTES BY STATE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

\$17,600 How much earned last year? Even without compensation for exoneration, you can potentially earn around 34% more than your current income. By removing employment discrimination from this wrongful conviction, you could earn up to \$751 more per month. That's about \$173 more per week. Hidden costs of anxiety and depression could also drop significantly. Share that with your supporters!

I. Options

After filling out the form above, as much as it applies to your case, you have a couple options. Go solo or receive support. Doing it yourself is *free*, but you may run into some painful costs you may find too overwhelming to bear alone. Unless you reach a high score, we recommend the **Plan**.

Both start the same.

1. Fill out the form above.
2. Decide who you need to notify, and get their contact information.
3. Go to that tab - (AI01 and so forth) and review the information.
4. If acceptable, save each one using these steps:
 - a) Select File menu (upper left)
 - b) Select Save As
 - c) Choose a folder to save it in
 - d) File name: change file name to include who is being notified
 - e) Save as type: scroll down dropdown list and choose PDF as file type (near bottom of list).
 - f) Click the SAVE button.

Repeat for each tab you use. (Each PDF should open in your PDF reader, if installed with defaults)
5. Draft email specific to who you are notifying.
6. Send email with your corresponding PDF as an attachment.
7. Wait, *or tactfully follow up*.

DIY for Free

You have the option to **Do It Yourself** and go it alone. Fill out this form, and use it to notify employers, landlords and debt collectors of your highly estimated innocence. You locate the contact information yourself and then send the notifications, using the steps above.

First, fill in the information in the **Notifying** section below (K). Information you enter there automatically creates each notification in the "AI0#" tabs. Review each created notification, make sure it says what you need it to say.

If your estimated score is relatively high, this may be all you need. If not, we strongly recommend the **plan**. FREE to start, we work with you to attract support. That support helps cover the costs, and share in some of the benefits. Learn about it more below.

Benefits of going with the Plan

- own your data
- improve your marketable value
- receive engaging support from others who believe you are innocent
- discover capacities you never knew you had
- find opportunities to earn doing what you passionately enjoy doing for others

Plan start for Free

Tragically, wrongful convictions destroy one's credibility. Once accused, your protests of innocence sound self-serving as if avoiding responsibility. You know perfectly well that's not the case.

Wrongful convictions also undermine earning capacity. An unearned felony robs one of earned income potential, to afford needed services. Talk about injustice!

This service provides a way for overcoming both!

The **Plan** has you, the wrongly convicted, build up a support team for both the social capital to demonstrate your innocence *and* to help share in the costs and returns in needed services. Services like this one. At least that's how it stands right now.

Together, we'll:

1. spot your most pressing needs,
2. draft a plan to fit your situation, and to best serve your specific needs,
3. build the support necessary to meet those needs, to finally
4. compel others to respond to these neglected needs in mutually beneficial ways.

Step 1

Together, we get our foot in the door. We compel notified employers, landlords and/or debt collectors to take notice of the unkind impact they are having on you. To avoid the risk of bad publicity, we expect them to respond.

We start out by exchanging messages through email. Our first exchange is FREE. We invite you to name a "proxy" who can support you through this process. Someone you can trust as you risk reliving this painful past.

The next email exchange only costs you and your proxy \$5. If we agree this process is a good fit for you, you will start building a support team. You invite each one using the supporter tabs, much like the notification tabs. As the value of this service to you rises, they bear the increased costs--and share in some of its rewards.

Eventually, we shift to group video-chat (\$100 each). Each dollar invested gets tracked. If your support team finds it's worth it, why not others? We will use that to persuade others to invest in you as well.

Your rebuilt life will become an attractive investment. If an employer passes you over, we can make sure their competitors will see your re-emerging value.

Step 2

If we reach step two, we convince the notified parties to start investing in your rebuilt life. To not only hire you or rent to you or negotiate debt with you, but to actively see you do better. Why would they willingly invest in you? By then, we will make it hard for them to say 'no'.

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

LEARN MORE...

J. Supporter(s)

Build up your Support Team, one supporter at a time.

Step 1

CLICK to go to tab

Invite supporters to help cover the weekly support fee, after claimant and proxy covers 10%. For step 1, you and your proxy will cover \$5 while your support team covers the remaining \$45. Later, for group video-chat, you and your proxy covers \$10 while your support team covers the remaining \$90. Only \$45 to go for weekly support.

1 Supporter 01:

Email address
Relation to claimant

FIRST NAME LAST NAME

Invitation:

Sent/Reply

Response:

Support level:

Date sent:

YYYY-MM-DD

Date replied:

YYYY-MM-DD

This covers none of weekly support cost.

\$45 to go for weekly support.

2 Supporter 02

Email address
Relation to claimant

FIRST NAME LAST NAME

steph_d_turner@yahoo.com

Invitation:

Sent/Reply

Response:

Support level:

Date sent:

YYYY-MM-DD

Date replied:

YYYY-MM-DD

This covers none of weekly support cost.

\$45 to go for weekly support.

3 Supporter 03

Email address
Relation to claimant

FIRST NAME LAST NAME

Invitation:

Sent/Reply

Response:

Support level:

Date sent:

YYYY-MM-DD

Date replied:

YYYY-MM-DD

This covers none of weekly support cost.

\$45 to go for weekly support.

4 Supporter 04

Email address
Relation to claimant

FIRST NAME LAST NAME

Invitation:

Sent/Reply

Response:

Support level:

Date sent:

YYYY-MM-DD

Date replied:

YYYY-MM-DD

This covers none of weekly support cost.

\$45 to go for weekly support.

5 Supporter 05	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
6 Supporter 06	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
7 Supporter 07	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
8 Supporter 08	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
9 Supporter 09	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.



10 Supporter 10	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
11 Supporter 11	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
12 Supporter 12	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
13 Supporter 13	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
14 Supporter 14	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.

15 Supporter 15	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
16 Supporter 16	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
17 Supporter 17	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
18 Supporter 18	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
19 Supporter 19	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.



20 Supporter 20	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
21 Supporter 21	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
22 Supporter 22	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
23 Supporter 23	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.
24 Supporter 24	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME
Email address		
Relation to claimant		AWARENESS OF ISSUE
Invitation:	Date sent:	Date replied:
Sent/Reply	YYYY-MM-DD	YYYY-MM-DD
Response:		
Support level:		
	This covers none of weekly support cost.	\$45 to go for weekly support.



Step 2

\$0.00

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

**THE UNITED STATES
ACCOUNTS FOR:**

**5% OF THE WORLD'S
POPULATION**

**25% OF THE WORLD'S
PRISONERS**



**ONE IN FOUR
AMERICANS HAVE
A CRIMINAL
BACKGROUND**



image credit: <http://www.daveskillerbread.com>

K. Notifying

Your needs cannot conform easily to expectations simply because you have been convicted. Wrongful or otherwise, a conviction forces you to make adjustments others readily ignore. Let others know the adjustments you've had to make, their impact on you and your loved ones, and where you see yourself in the future.

Who do you need to notify of your estimated innocence? Lower your risk of discrimination by sending notification to either an employer, a landlord, and/or debt collector. Click on "Employer" or other title to change it from the dropdown list. Pick an option for sending the notification. And fill out the contact information, so we can anticipate their reply.

1 Employer Accumulative total: \$5

notify options: We send notification on your behalf [\$5]. Only \$1 if done by Jan 1st.
N1 [Go to Walmart Distribution Center tab >>](#)

Entity name:	Walmart Distribution Center	
Contact person:	Georgia Brown	
Position:	Hiring Manager	
Email address:	glbrown@walmart.com	
Mailing address:	406 S Walton Blvd	
	72712	Bentonville Arkansas

2 Employer Accumulative total: \$10

notify options: We send notification on your behalf [\$5]. Only \$1 if done by Jan 1st.
N2 [Go to Home Depot tab >>](#)

Entity name:	Home Depot	
Contact person:	Wanda Johnson	
Position:	HR rep	
Email address:	wjohnson@staples.com	
Mailing address:	6685 S. Westnedge Ave	
	49002	Portage Michigan

3 Housing Accumulative total: \$10

notify options: PICK AN OPTION BEST FOR YOU
N3 [click to go to N3](#)

Entity name:		
Contact person:		
Position:		
Email address:		
Mailing address:		



4 Housing **Accumulative total: \$10**

notify options: PICK AN OPTION BEST FOR YOU

N4 [click to go to AI04](#)

Entity name:

Contact person:

Position:

Email address:

Mailing address:

5 Debt collector **Accumulative total: \$10**

notify options: PICK AN OPTION BEST FOR YOU

N5 [click to go to AI05](#)

Entity name:

Contact person:

Position:

Email address:

Mailing address:

6 Debt collector **Accumulative total: \$10**

notify options: PICK AN OPTION BEST FOR YOU

N6 [click to go to AI06](#)

Entity name:

Contact person:


Position:

Email address:

Mailing address:

\$10 Total due when ready for us to send notifications on you

image credit: <http://www.daveskillerbread.com>



L. Independent verifier(s)

NAME	NAME EMAIL ADDRESS	HOW KNOW CLAIMANT
Estimated time:	572 hours	
Min. rate \$10	low bid \$5720	10% down to start
Max. rate \$25	high bid \$14300	minimum to start: \$572

NAME	NAME EMAIL ADDRESS	HOW KNOW CLAIMANT
Estimated time:	572 hours	
Min. rate \$10	low bid \$5720	10% down to start
Max. rate \$25	high bid \$14300	minimum to start: \$572

NAME	NAME EMAIL ADDRESS	HOW KNOW CLAIMANT
Estimated time:	572 hours	
Min. rate \$40	low bid \$22880	10% down to start
Max. rate \$55	high bid \$31460	minimum to start: \$2288

NAME	NAME EMAIL ADDRESS	HOW KNOW CLAIMANT
Estimated time:	572 hours	
Min. rate \$70	low bid \$40040	10% down to start
Max. rate \$85	high bid \$48620	minimum to start: \$4004

NAME	NAME EMAIL ADDRESS	HOW KNOW CLAIMANT
Estimated time:	572 hours	
Min. rate \$100	low bid \$57200	10% down to start
Max. rate \$115	high bid \$65780	minimum to start: \$5720

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

M. Next

concluding instructions

Save this file. Then send a copy to valuerelating@gmail.com.

Go to [NOTIFIED] tab. Once there, save as PDF.

Terms of Service

You agree to share this profile of yours with **Value Relating** for the exclusive purpose of serving your needs, and for any other purpose you agree to prior to Value Relating using it.

Your support team initially consists of your proxy, or key supporter, and a primary support provider (PSP) from Value Relating. You build your support as you invite supporters to help cover the costs of this service to you and can provide you with essential emotional supports.


As your team grows, Value Relating adds a secondary support provider (SSP) to help with the growing work load (logistics, answering questions, keeping records up to date). This SSP could eventually move up to the PSP position.


Option 1

You agree to the above terms and you

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

justifying irrational processes and outcomes of the adversarial justice system;
uncritical acceptance of the mostly nonscientific process of arbitrary judicial processes;
rationalizing unjust objectification of human subjects in the name of justice.





The **criminal justice system** finds itself in a crisis by being that part of government with far more power than accountability.

"In this present crisis," to paraphrase Ronald Reagan, "the **criminal justice system** is not the solution to the problem. The **criminal justice system** is the problem."

Its **adversarial system** gets anchored in untested beliefs, while the lives it impacts remains anchored painfully to reality.

Reality is what happens when your beliefs have you looking the other way.

Prosecutors are essentially accountable to no one. They basically sit above the law they are trusted to enforce, present one of the greatest threats to democracy with uncorrected wrongful convictions.



**Whether lawbreaker or law enforcer,
indulging your need at another's expense lets
you become the evil you fear in others.**

**"It is better that ten guilty persons
escape than that one innocent suffer."**

**Any unfairness in the process for justice
rarely results in the fairness of justice.**

**What often gets categorized
as justice is no justice at all.**

**Prosecutors, you know who you are
when treating justice as something to win.
Us-versus-them does not get us very far,
there's far more nuance within.
The lower you set that adversarial bar
the deeper you lock yourself and us all in.**

“JUSTIFISM”

**justifying irrational processes and outcomes of
the adversarial justice system;
uncritical acceptance of the mostly nonscientific
process of arbitrary judicial processes;
rationalizing unjust objectification of human
subjects in the name of justice.**

**Guilty or innocent? I'm not
talking about you, but
about them. Who shall
exonerate those who
remain slow to exonerate
others they falsely
condemn?**